

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,123	07/08/2003	Bradley D. Schweigert	KMC-585 2211		
7	590 08/31/2005		EXAMINER		
Darrell F. Marquette 2201 W. Desert Cove			HUNTER, ALVIN A		
Phoenix, AZ			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3711		
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9	Z	th

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/616,123	SCHWEIGERT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alvin A. Hunter	3711		

	Alvin A. Hunter	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo g date of the final rejection.	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl ust be filed within one	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	but arior to the data of filing a brief	بالمصادة مطامعا النب	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the proposed amendment (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	moliant Amendment	(DTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment	(F10L-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 4, and 5.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Vo(s).	
13		250000 182018011	
		REGORY VIDOVICH	HAIED
		ISORY PATENT EXAM NOLOGY CENTER 37	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Though applicant argues that the interpretation of the prior art is different from that of what the applicant regards as the invention, Applicant has the duty to construct the claims such that the claims such that they overcome the prior art. Applicant is reminded that claims are to be given there broadest reasonable interpretation. The claim as amended in its broadest reasonable interpretation still read on the Best reference. IDS has not been considered because it does not appear to be accompanied by a statement or a fee.